Changes in walking levels of people with stroke following discharge from hospital: A pilot study
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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to investigate changes in walking levels of independently mobile individuals following a stroke between the inpatient setting and the home environment, both directly after discharge and in the longer term. Forty-three participants who had a stroke as their primary diagnosis and who could walk 10 metres without the support of another person on discharge from hospital completed the study. The Step-Watch Activity Monitor, six minute walk test, 10 metre walk test, and the Stroke Impact Scale were used to measure outcome. There was a significant increase in number of steps taken per day between 3-14 days and 4-6 months post-discharge (p=0.0001). Walking speed, six minute walk test, perceived mobility and perceived ability to perform activities of daily living all had strong positive correlations with average amount of steps at 4-6 months post-discharge from hospital and could therefore be used as predictors of walking level in the longer term. However, step counts are still below those required for health benefits. This suggests it is beneficial for further emphasis to be placed on increasing activity levels for people after stroke, even if they are able to mobilise independently on discharge home.
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INTRODUCTION

Stroke affects approximately 6000 New Zealanders per year (Tobias, Cheung, Carter, Anderson & Feigin, 2007). Although the incidence of stroke is increasing due to an ageing population, mortality is decreasing (Ministry of Health, 2014; Tobias et al., 2007). This means that an increasing number of people are living with the effects of a stroke. Stroke has a physical, psychological and financial impact on both individuals and their communities (Gbiri, Olawale & Isaac, 2015). If current trends continue, there will be 70 million people living with stroke globally by 2030 (Feigin et al., 2014), thus increasing the burden on health care systems and communities. Stroke can reduce a person’s quality of life by limiting their ability to participate in home and community life (Carod-Artal, Egidio, González & Seijas, 2000; Chen & Rimmer, 2011). Therefore an important goal after stroke is to support people to reintegrate into their community. A factor in achieving this is for people after stroke to have adequate levels of physical activity including the ability to walk in their community (Van Peppen et al., 2004).

It is well documented that activity levels of people after stroke are low not only during inpatient rehabilitation but also after reintegration into the community (Bernhardt, Dewey, Thrift & Donnan 2004; Skarin et al., 2014; West & Bernhardt, 2012). In the inpatient setting, studies have found that patients spend most of their time inactive, alone or in their rooms (Bernhardt et al., 2004; Skarin et al., 2014; West & Bernhardt, 2012). Even after discharge back to community life, people have reduced levels of physical activity after stroke (Field, Gebruers, Sundaram, Nicholson & Mead, 2013; Rand, Eng, Tang, Jeng & Hung 2009; Tudor-Locke et al., 2011). For instance, a recent meta-analysis of studies in 14 different countries (including New Zealand and Australia) showed that people after stroke take an average of 4355.2 steps per day (Field et al., 2013). This is well below the recommended 7000 steps for the older adult population (Tudor-Locke et al., 2011) and mirrors evidence that people participate in 36% less activity after stroke than normative values for community dwelling individuals over the age of 60 (Rand et al., 2009).

Decreased levels of physical activity during hospitalisation result in deconditioning (Billinger et al., 2014), which may contribute to low activity levels at home despite the greater functional demands of a home environment compared to a hospital environment. Although we know that activity levels of people after stroke remain below the recommended levels for maintaining health and quality of life (Billinger et al., 2014; Field et al., 2013), we do not yet have sufficient information about changes in activity levels from discharge to home living, in the shorter and then the longer term. A clear description of changes in activity levels over time will guide rehabilitation
goal setting and help create effective and efficient interventions after stroke. The main aim of this study was to investigate changes in walking levels of independently mobile individuals following a stroke between the inpatient setting and the home environment, both directly after discharge and in the longer term.

METHODS

This was a longitudinal observational study which took place between 2013 and 2015 in a large district health board in a metropolitan area of New Zealand. Ethical approval for this study was granted by the University of Otago Human Ethics Committee (13/002).

Recruitment and participants

Hospitalised patients with stroke were recruited from a stroke rehabilitation unit and an acute stroke unit. Individuals were eligible to participate in this study if they were hospitalised with a stroke as their primary diagnosis, could walk 10 metres independently prior to discharge with or without an aid and could apply (or have support to apply) an activity monitor around their ankle for waking hours over three days. Individuals were excluded if they could not walk 10 metres prior to the stroke, were living in a private care facility, were medically unwell or their cognition precluded them from providing informed consent.

Physiotherapists based in the stroke rehabilitation unit and acute stroke unit, and who were not otherwise involved in the study, screened all patients against a recruitment checklist and provided eligible people with an information sheet. People who were interested in participating were met by a member of the research team (BB, RB, ET, also physiotherapists, but not working on these wards), to explain the study further. People provided written informed consent to participate in the study.

Procedures

Demographic data regarding each participant's age, sex and classification/location of stroke were collected at the time of recruitment from patient notes. Ethnicity was collected by self-report. Further information including walking aids, living situation (alone or with others) and an estimation of house size (small, medium or large) was collected by the research team at the time of recruitment.

Primary and secondary outcome measures were completed by the participant's treating physiotherapist during their inpatient stay for participants recruited from the stroke rehabilitation unit (Assessment A) and by community stroke physiotherapists on two home visits after discharge from hospital (Assessments B and C). The first community visit was between 3-14 days after discharge and the second occurred at 4-6 months.

The primary outcome measure used was the Step-Watch Activity Monitor (SAM). The SAM consists of an accelerometer and electronic filter that detects leg movement to determine the amount of steps taken by its wearer. It is worn above the ankle. This was consistent for Assessments B and C. No inpatient data could be collected due to insufficient time between consenting to be in the study and being discharged home. Community data were collected at the participant's home, with the 6MWT carried out on the pavement outside the home or in the participant's driveway. This was consistent for Assessments B and C.

Analysis

The distribution of data was examined for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (Antonius, 2012). Data were also assessed for homoscedascity and linearity by graphing the data. Wilcoxon signed rank tests with Bonferroni correction were used to examine the difference between steps over the three time periods. A Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to explore the relationship between different components of the SIS or walking speed with average number of steps (Antonius, 2012). Linear regression models were fitted to account for the impact of age on step counts with any predictive measures.

RESULTS

Sixty-four people were identified by the recruitment team for the study from the beginning of 2013 to July 2015. Fifty-one participants consented to participate in the study, but six people withdrew from the study and there were two incomplete data sets. Therefore a total of 43 people completed the study. See Figure 1 for the flow of participants through the study. The mean age of these participants was 75.8 years (SD 7.5). The group characteristics are presented in Table 1. All data were normally distributed except walking speed and mean steps at 3-14 days post-discharge. Assumptions of linearity and homoscedasticity were met with all data. Main results are shown in Table 2.
Figure 1. Flow chart outlining study recruitment, dropout and completion.

Table 1: Group characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEX</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25 (58.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18 (41.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ETHNICITY</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ European</td>
<td>39 (90.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Māori</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other European</td>
<td>2 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (Russian)</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLASSIFICATION OF STROKE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACI</td>
<td>15 (34.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACI</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCI</td>
<td>11 (25.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>2 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple infarcts</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIDE OF STROKE</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>18 (41.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>21 (48.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIVING ALONE</strong></td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: PACI, Partial anterior circulatory infarct; LACI, lacunar circulatory infarct; POCI, posterior circulatory infarct; ICH, inter-cerebral haemorrhage.

A Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test revealed a significant increase in number of steps taken (as measured by SAM) between 3-14 days post discharge and 4-6 months post discharge with a medium effect size, ($p=0.0001$). The mean number of steps increased from 2839.5 (SD 1324.9) at 3-14 days to 3665.7 steps (SD 1787.8) at 4-6 months post-discharge. There was also a significant change between inpatient data and 3-14 days post discharge ($p=0.011$) as well as inpatient data and 4-6 months post discharge ($p=0.0001$), although only 20 participants had been measured for the initial data set (Assessment A).

In addition to step count, walking speed over 10 metres and distance walked in six minutes were also measured. Both 10MWT and 6MWT were found to have a large positive correlation with steps per day at 4-6 months post-discharge ($r=0.59$, $p<0.0001$; $r=0.68$, $p<0.0001$, respectively). Four separate linear regression models were fitted to see if there was an impact of age on the step counts at 4-6 months post-discharge. Results were, however, consistent at all ages. All secondary outcome measures still had an individual significant effect after adjusting for age.

Additionally, relationships between mean number of steps 4-6 months post discharge, and components of the SIS, were investigated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. There was a strong positive correlation between perceived mobility and mean number of steps 4-6 months post-discharge, ($r=0.60$, $p<0.0001$), with greater perceived mobility being associated with greater number of steps. Similarly, a large correlation ($r=0.57$, $p<0.0001$) was also found with perceived ability to perform activities of daily living and number of steps 4-6 months post discharge. No significant correlations were found between any other relevant categories of the SIS (recovery, mood, strength and community participation) and number of steps 4-6 months post-discharge.
Ten metre walk test speed and 6MWT distance at 3-14 days post-discharge were assessed as predictors for mean amount of steps taken 4-6 months post-discharge. There was found to be a positive correlation, \( r=0.49, p=0.001 \) and \( r=0.36, p=0.019 \) respectively for both variables. A faster 10MWT speed and larger 6MWT distance at 3-14 days post-discharge were found to be associated with a greater amount of steps 4-6 months post-discharge. Furthermore, both the perceived mobility and ADL ability components of the SIS at 3-14 days post-discharge had significant positive correlations with amount of steps 4-6 months post-discharge; \( r=0.49, p=0.001 \) and \( r=0.58, p<0.0001 \) respectively. Thus larger scores in perceived mobility and ADL at 3-14 days post-discharge were associated with a greater amount of steps four months post-discharge. This indicates that all these variables could be used as predictors of daily step count for people post-discharge after stroke.

**DISCUSSION**

The primary aim of this study was to investigate changes in walking levels of independently mobile individuals following a stroke between the inpatient setting and the home environment, both directly after discharge and in the longer term. Our results showed a significant increase in the number of steps per day between inpatient stay, 3-14 days post discharge and 4-6 months post discharge. In addition, there was a strong positive correlation between 10MWT speed, 6MWT, SIS mobility and SIS ADL scores with steps per day at 4-6 months post discharge. The study also demonstrated that 10MWT speed, 6MWT, SIS mobility and SIS ADL scores directly after discharge could be used as predictors of walking levels in the longer term. Faster 10MWT speeds, increased 6MWT distance, higher SIS mobility and ADL scores at 3-14 days post discharge were correlated with a greater amount of steps 4-6 months post discharge. There was no correlation between other components of the SIS and daily step count, suggesting that SIS domains other than mobility and ADL cannot be used as predictors for walking levels.

Our study supports that of Manns and Baldwin (2009) who conducted a longitudinal observational study investigating differences in step count pre- and post-discharge in a stroke population. Both ours and the study by Manns and Baldwin (2009) had a similar increase in step count, of approximately 800 steps. Although Manns and Baldwin (2009) had a higher initial (5411) and final (6195) step count than in our study, Manns and Baldwin had a younger mean population age by approximately eight years. Similarly Shaughnessy, Michael, Sorkin and Macko (2005) and Moore et al. (2013) investigated walking activity of people after stroke using step count prior to discharge and found that people took 1536 (Shaughnessy et al., 2005) and 3111 (Moore et al., 2013) steps per day. This correlates with our participants who walked an average of 1997 steps per day as inpatients, again illustrating low inpatient activity within the stroke population (Field et al., 2013; Rand et al., 2009; Tudor-Locke et al., 2011). Participants’ walking speeds according to the 6MWT and 10MWT were comparable to previously identified speeds in people with chronic stroke of similar age to those in our study (Flandbjer et al., 2005). However comparing our participants’ walking speed with established norms for community dwelling older adults, we see that our participants’ speeds were below the established norms even at 4-6 months post-discharge from hospital. For instance Steffan, Hacker and Mollinger (2002) found an average 6MWT distance of 499m and a fast 10MWT speed of 1.77m/s for the 70-79 age group. While the mean age of our participants is within this age range, our 4-6 month 6MWT and 10MWT results were below these norms (360m and 1.4 m/s), meaning that our participants with stroke did not reach the expected levels and speed of walking as age matched peers. This may be in part due to participants in our study still being in a sub-acute phase of recovery. However, despite slow walking speeds amongst our participants, the mean walking speed for our participants when inpatients was faster than the suggested speed of 0.66m/s needed to achieve community ambulation (van de Port, Kwakkel & Lindeman, 2008).

Our study has helped to establish how walking activity for people after stroke changes in the early stages following discharge from the inpatient setting. Such knowledge can be used to help guide goal setting in stroke rehabilitation by establishing norms for early post discharge activity levels. Community living demands the ability to mobilise safely and efficiently around the home and into the community, which requires adequate endurance and speed. The increase in 10MWT speed and 6MWT distance found in our study on return home may be explained by the requirement to adapt to these more demanding environments. However, at initial discharge people are likely to have a degree of deconditioning due to reduced activity over the inpatient stay (Bernhardt et al., 2014; West & Bernhardt, 2012). Deconditioning could impair people’s ability to undertake functional activities on return home after stroke, limiting their speed of recovery and leading to lower activity levels. Therefore, encouraging people with stroke to be more active in the inpatient environment could lead to a higher number of steps per day at discharge. A higher step count at discharge would lead to a higher step count on return home, and a further increase at 4-6 months post discharge. In particular, targeting people who have low walking speeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Objective outcome measures compared over three time periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome Measure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps count, M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6MWT distance m, M (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10MWT speed m/s, M (SD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: 6MWT, Six minute walk test; 10MWT, Ten metre walk test; M, Mean; SD, standard deviation.
after stroke in the inpatient setting or on returning to the community, may better guide rehabilitation effort. However, previous studies have suggested that good outcomes on these standardised walking measures do not necessarily indicate people have resumed community ambulation (Lord, McPherson, McNaughton, Rochester & Weatherall, 2004). Instead self-reported measures have been suggested as more useful indicators for community ambulation (Lord & Rochester, 2005). Given that perceived walking ability and ADLs according to the SIS were strong indicators for step count at 4–6 months post-discharge, it may be that better utilisation of these measures by clinicians could enable more targeted rehabilitation interventions for walking activity. Further research is required to establish specific data ranges for these outcome measures that alert potential ‘at risk’ people for low walking activity in the longer term after stroke.

People with neurological conditions, including stroke, have been found to need more activity than their unaffected age related peers in order to gain health benefits and prevent secondary comorbidities (Billinger et al., 2014; Gallanagh, Quinn, Alexander & Walters, 2011). Our study showed activity levels of participants were too low to gain health benefits, potentially leading to a cycle of increased comorbidities, further decreased activity and potential for re-hospitalisation. An ageing population and decreasing mortality from stroke will further increase the number of people with stroke potentially entering this cycle, which has the potential to exponentially increase the socioeconomic burden of stroke on the health care system. Therefore, it is imperative that we place more emphasis on increasing physical activity throughout the entire rehabilitation process. For example, in the hospital setting we could increase stimulation for walking activity by offering group activities for motivation (Eng et al., 2003) and encouraging people to be more engaged in rehabilitation activity, such as walking, outside of treatment sessions. In the community, easily accessible stroke specific exercise classes would not only improve activity levels but empower people after stroke and encourage support within a local stroke population. Pedometers or pedometer-like devices could also motivate people after stroke to increase their activity levels as they can independently monitor the progress towards their goals (Tudor-Locke, 2002).

Our study had some limitations. First, this study had a small sample size, particularly relating to inpatient data. This could be due to the strict inclusion criteria coupled with a busy hospital environment which meant not all possible participants were screened and invited into the study. Additionally only a specific cohort, i.e. those who were more physically able, was investigated. Furthermore our data were collected, both on the ward and in the community, by physiotherapists who may have also been a participant’s treating physiotherapist. This means there was potential for bias both in terms of assessment by the person collecting the data, and performance of participants who may have wished to ‘please’ their physiotherapist, thus instituting a potential Hawthorne effect (Jones, 1992). However, the outcome measures chosen for this study have high inter-reliability and validity and are well supported in the literature for use in the stroke population (Duncan et al., 1999; Enright et al., 2003; Flansbjer et al., 2005; Kosak & Smith, 2005; Wevers et al., 2011; Wolf et al., 1999). SAMs are the most reliable movement sensors at low gait speeds and are equal to other pedometers at medium and high gait speeds (Mudge & Stott, 2009; Storti et al., 2008). The SAM also blinded the wearer and assessor to its readings with results being uploaded electronically. Good reliability has been demonstrated for the SAM when worn for three consecutive days (Mudge & Stott, 2008), suggesting that the three days of SAM data would give a valid measure of walking levels. However, the accuracy of SAM relies on the apparatus being applied for all waking hours, thus it is possible steps were not recorded if the SAM was not applied for all waking hours.

This study supports contemporary literature about activity levels of people after stroke in reporting that levels are lower than those required for health benefits. Future research could build on our study by exploring rehabilitation interventions to target ways to increase walking activity especially amongst those people after stroke who can be identified as being of ‘high risk’ according to predictive outcome measures.

CONCLUSION

Participants increased their number of steps taken per day over the months following discharge from hospital. This increase was predicted by walking speed and perceived function directly after discharge. However step counts are still below those required for health benefits. This suggests it is beneficial for further emphasis to be placed on increasing activity levels for people after stroke, even if they are able to mobilise independently on discharge home.

KEY POINTS

1. After stroke people increased the number of steps they took per day between the inpatient setting and the months after discharge from hospital, but they are still below those required for health benefits.

2. 10MWT speed, 6MWT, SIS mobility and SIS ADL at 3-14 days post-discharge were predictors of the number of steps taken at 4-6 months. This knowledge can help to identify people at risk of low activity.

3. Further emphasis should be placed on increasing activity levels over the entire rehabilitation process to reduce the future burden of stroke.
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